

State Rapid Response Investigative Public Report

Office of Health Facility Complaints

Maltreatment Report #: HL307045389M
Compliance #: HL307047392C

Date Concluded: December 20, 2024

Name, Address, and County of Licensee

Investigated:

Madonna Meadows of Rochester
3035 Salem Meadows Dr SW
Rochester, MN 55902
Olmsted County

Facility Type: Assisted Living Facility with
Dementia Care (ALFDC)

Evaluator's Name: **Deb Schillinger, RN BSN**
Special Investigator

Finding: Substantiated, individual responsibility

Nature of Investigation:

The Minnesota Department of Health investigated an allegation of maltreatment, in accordance with the Minnesota Reporting of Maltreatment of Vulnerable Adults Act, Minn. Stat. 626.557, and to evaluate compliance with applicable licensing standards for the provider type.

Initial Investigation Allegation(s):

The alleged perpetrator (AP), an unlicensed caregiver, financially exploited the resident when the AP made unauthorized purchases with the resident's debit card and attempted to cash a check for \$600 at the resident's bank.

Investigative Findings and Conclusion:

The Minnesota Department of Health determined financial exploitation was substantiated. The AP was responsible for the maltreatment. The AP attempted to cash a check for \$600 at the resident's bank without the resident's knowledge or consent and left her driver's license at the bank. The AP had contact with the resident and her personal belongings through her role as an unlicensed caregiver. Additionally, the resident's bank records showed unauthorized transactions for a food delivery service amounting to a combined total of greater than \$500.

The investigator conducted interviews with facility staff members, including administrative staff, nursing staff, and unlicensed staff. The investigator contacted law enforcement, bank personnel, and the resident's family member. The investigation included review of the resident records, facility internal investigation, facility incident reports, personnel files, staff schedules, law enforcement report, and related facility policy and procedures. Also, the investigator observed staff interactions with residents during an onsite visit.

The resident resided in an assisted living facility. The resident's diagnoses included type 2 diabetes. The resident's service plan included assistance with medication management and blood sugar monitoring. The resident's assessment indicated the resident ambulated with a walker but used a wheelchair or scooter for longer distances.

A police report indicated the resident's bank notified her a person attempted to cash what appeared to be a forged check in the resident's name for \$600. When the resident looked for the check number in her belongings, the check with the same check number was missing. When the resident reviewed her bank statement, she identified several transactions on her debit card through a food delivery service that she did not incur.

The same police report indicated the bank employee reported a woman was in the drive thru attempting to cash a check where the signature on the check did not match the resident's signature on file. While the teller checked the validity of the signatures on the check, the person drove away, leaving behind both the check and a driver's license. The driver's license was the AP's.

Facility records and schedules indicated the AP had access to the resident as a course of her job duties as an unlicensed caregiver. Those same records indicated the AP provided medications and completed blood sugar checks two times in the week prior to the beginning of the food delivery transactions and at least three times in the two weeks after the beginning of the food delivery transactions.

Bank statements indicated sixteen transactions through a food delivery service were made that continued until the day the AP attempted to cash a check at the resident's bank, then the unauthorized transactions stopped. Those unauthorized transactions totaled more than \$500. s

During an interview, the facility manager stated a check and driver's license was forwarded to her that was recognized by her to be the AP. The manager attempted to reach the AP to notify her of a suspension during the facility investigation, however she was unable to reach the AP by phone. The AP did not contact or return to the facility and was subsequently terminated.

During an interview, the resident indicated she was unaware of a check missing from her wallet until the call from the bank reporting the attempt to cash a check in the drive thru. The resident stated her wallet was kept by her dresser in her room and unlicensed caregivers had access to her room when cares were provided.

In conclusion, the Minnesota Department of Health determined financial exploitation was substantiated.

Substantiated: Minnesota Statutes, section 626.5572, Subdivision 19.

“Substantiated” means a preponderance of evidence shows that an act that meets the definition of maltreatment occurred.

Financial exploitation: Minnesota Statutes, section 626.5572, subdivision 9

"Financial exploitation" means:

- (1) engages in unauthorized expenditure of funds entrusted to the actor by the vulnerable adult which results or is likely to result in detriment to the vulnerable adult; or
 - (2) fails to use the financial resources of the vulnerable adult to provide food, clothing, shelter, health care, therapeutic conduct or supervision for the vulnerable adult, and the failure results or is likely to result in detriment to the vulnerable adult.
- (b) In the absence of legal authority, a person:
- (1) willfully uses, withholds, or disposes of funds or property of a vulnerable adult;
 - (2) obtains for the actor or another the performance of services by a third person for the wrongful profit or advantage of the actor or another to the detriment of the vulnerable adult;
 - (3) acquires possession or control of, or an interest in, funds or property of a vulnerable adult through the use of undue influence, harassment, duress, deception, or fraud; or
 - (4) forces, compels, coerces, or entices a vulnerable adult against the vulnerable adult's will to perform services for the profit or advantage of another.

Vulnerable Adult interviewed: Yes

Family/Responsible Party interviewed: Yes

Alleged Perpetrator interviewed: Attempts to interview the AP were unsuccessful

Action taken by facility:

The facility notified law enforcement, completed an investigation and the AP is no longer employed at the facility.

Action taken by the Minnesota Department of Health:

The facility was issued a correction order regarding the vulnerable adult's right to be free from maltreatment.

You may also call 651-201-4200 to receive a copy via mail or email

The responsible party will be notified of their right to appeal the maltreatment finding. If the maltreatment is substantiated against an identified employee, this report will be submitted to the nurse aide registry for possible inclusion of the finding on the abuse registry and/or to the Minnesota Department of Human Services for possible disqualification in accordance with the provisions of the background study requirements under Minnesota 245C.

cc:

The Office of Ombudsman for Long Term Care

The Office of Ombudsman for Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities

Olmsted County Attorney

Rochester City Attorney

Rochester Police Department

MN Department of Human Services

Minnesota Department of Health

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 30704	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING: _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED C 10/08/2024
--	--	---	---

NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER MADONNA MEADOWS OF ROCHESTER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 3035 SALEM MEADOWS DR SW ROCHESTER, MN 55902
---	--

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DEFICIENCY)	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
--------------------	--	---------------	---	--------------------

0 000	<p>Initial Comments</p> <p>*****ATTENTION*****</p> <p>In accordance with Minnesota Statutes, section 144G.08 to 144G.95, these correction orders are issued pursuant to a complaint investigation.</p> <p>Determination of whether a violation is corrected requires compliance with all requirements provided at the statute number indicated below. When a Minnesota Statute contains several items, failure to comply with any of the items will be considered lack of compliance.</p> <p>INITIAL COMMENTS:</p> <p>#HL307047392C /#HL307045389M</p> <p>On October 8, 2024, the Minnesota Department of Health conducted a complaint investigation at the above provider, and the following correction orders are issued. At the time of the complaint investigation, there were 55 residents receiving services under the provider's Assisted Living with Dementia Care license.</p> <p>The following correction order is issued/orders are issued for #HL307047392C /#HL307045389M, tag identification 2360.</p>	0 000	<p>Minnesota Department of Health is documenting the State Correction Orders using federal software. Tag numbers have been assigned to Minnesota State Statutes for Assisted Living Facilities. The assigned tag number appears in the far-left column entitled "ID Prefix Tag." The state Statute number and the corresponding text of the state Statute out of compliance is listed in the "Summary Statement of Deficiencies" column. This column also includes the findings which are in violation of the state requirement after the statement, "This Minnesota requirement is not met as evidenced by." Following the evaluators' findings is the Time Period for Correction.</p> <p>PLEASE DISREGARD THE HEADING OF THE FOURTH COLUMN WHICH STATES, "PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION." THIS APPLIES TO FEDERAL DEFICIENCIES ONLY. THIS WILL APPEAR ON EACH PAGE.</p> <p>THERE IS NO REQUIREMENT TO SUBMIT A PLAN OF CORRECTION FOR VIOLATIONS OF MINNESOTA STATE STATUTES.</p> <p>THE LETTER IN THE LEFT COLUMN IS USED FOR TRACKING PURPOSES AND REFLECTS THE SCOPE AND LEVEL ISSUED PURSUANT TO 144G.31 SUBDIVISION 1-3.</p>	
02360	<p>144G.91 Subd. 8 Freedom from maltreatment</p> <p>Residents have the right to be free from physical,</p>	02360		

Minnesota Department of Health LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE	TITLE	(X6) DATE
---	-------	-----------

Minnesota Department of Health

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 30704	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING: _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED C 10/08/2024
--	--	---	---

NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER MADONNA MEADOWS OF ROCHESTER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 3035 SALEM MEADOWS DR SW ROCHESTER, MN 55902
---	--

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DEFICIENCY)	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
02360	<p>Continued From page 1</p> <p>sexual, and emotional abuse; neglect; financial exploitation; and all forms of maltreatment covered under the Vulnerable Adults Act.</p> <p>This MN Requirement is not met as evidenced by: The facility failed to ensure one of one resident(s) reviewed (R1) was free from maltreatment.</p> <p>Findings include:</p> <p>The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) issued a determination maltreatment occurred, and an individual person was responsible for the maltreatment, in connection with incidents which occurred at the facility.</p> <p>Please refer to the public maltreatment report for details.</p>	02360		