PreferredOne Community Health Plan Quality Assurance examination

FINAL REPORT

For the Period: August 1, 2014 to January 31, 2017 Examiners: Elaine Johnson, RN, BS, CPHQ, Anne Kukowski, MS, JD, and Kate Eckroth, MPH Final Issue Date: Final Results – October 16, 2017



Quality Assurance Examination

Minnesota Department of Health, Managed Care Systems Section P.O. Box 64882, St. Paul, MN 55164-0882 (651) 201-5100 www.health.state.mn.us/hmo

.

As requested by Minnesota Statute 3.197: This report cost approximately \$ to prepare, including staff time, printing and mailing expenses.

Upon request, this material will be made available in an alternative format such as large print, Braille or audio recording. Printed on recycled paper.

FINAL REPORT

Minnesota Department of Health Executive Summary

The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) conducted a Quality Assurance Examination of PreferredOne Community Health Plan (PCHP) to determine whether it is operating in accordance with Minnesota law. Our mission is to protect, maintain and improve the health of all Minnesotans. MDH has found that PCHP is compliant with Minnesota and federal law, except in the areas outlined in the "Deficiencies" and Mandatory Improvements" sections of this report. "Deficiencies" are violations of law. "Mandatory Improvements" are required corrections that must be made to non-compliant policies, documents or procedures where evidence of actual compliance is found or where the file sample did not include any instances of the specific issue of concern. The "Recommendations" listed are areas where, although compliant with law, MDH identified improvement opportunities.

To address recommendations, PCHP (and its delegates, if applicable) should:

Include in its access and availability reports specific information about the areas (counties) in which accessibility requirements are not met, requests for waivers, analysis of meeting ECP requirements and its plan and practice for providing services to members living in areas where care is not available.

Update their policy and procedure to include more specificity around the types of professionals who can make determinations for mental health and substance review requests so that any new internal staff have a clear understanding of the process.

To address mandatory improvements, PCHP must:

Have a specific policy and procedure for contracting with Essential Community Providers within the areas served.

Revise its policy and procedure to state their process for contacting the attending health care professional to discuss any expedited request that PreferredOne deems is not urgent care.

To address deficiencies, PCHP and its delegates must:

Perform and document delegation oversight of the pharmacy claims and credentialing delegated functions.

This report including these deficiencies, mandatory improvements and recommendations is approved and adopted by the Minnesota Commissioner of Health pursuant to authority in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 62D.

Martha Burton Santibanez, Assistant Director Health Regulation Division

10/16/2017

Contents

1.	Introduction1
	A. History:
	B. Membership:1
	D. Examination Period1
	E. National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA)1
	F. Sampling Methodology2
	G. Performance standard
2.	Quality Program Administration2
	Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1110. Program 2
	Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1115. Activities
	Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1125. Focus Study Steps 4
	Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1130. Filed Written Plan and Work Plan
3. C	Quality of Care
4.	Complaint System
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.69. Complaint Resolution5
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.70. Appeal of the Complaint Decision
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.71. Notice to Enrollees
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.73. External Review of Adverse Determinations
5.	Access and Availability
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62D.124. Geographic Accessibility
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.19. Essential Community Providers
	Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1010. Availability and Accessibility
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.55. Emergency Services

이 지 않는 데 왜 이 것 것 같아요.

	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.121. Licensure of Medical Directors
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.527. Coverage of Non-formulary Drugs for Mental Illness and Emotional Disturbance
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.535. Coverage for Court-Ordered Mental Health Services7
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.56. Continuity of Care7
6.	Utilization Review
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.04. Standards for Utilization Review Performance
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.05. Procedures for Review Determination
	Statutes, Section 62M.06. Appeals of Determinations not to Certify
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.08. Confidentiality9
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.09. Staff and Program Qualifications
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.11. Complaints to Commerce or Health
7.	Recommendations11
8.	Mandatory Improvements
9.	Deficiencies

1. Introduction

- A. History: PreferredOne Community Health Plan (PCHP) is a Minnesota nonprofit corporation organized on December 2, 1994 under Chapter 317A of the Minnesota Statutes. PCHP became operational in 1996. Contributing members of PCHP were Fairview Health Services and North Memorial Health Care. The sole non-contributing member was PreferredOne Physician Associates. PCHP and its products are regulated by the Minnesota Department of Health primarily under Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 62D. Minnesota Statutes provide that 40% of an HMO's board members be enrollees of the health plan. Participants in a group plan administered by PreferredOne Administrative Services ("PAS") may also serve as a consumer board member on the PCHP Board of Directors, subject to certain conditions and limits set forth in the PCHP bylaws. On January 15, 2016, Fairview Health Services became the sole member of PCHP. PCHP is managed by PAS under a management agreement between PCHP and PAS. The current PCHP Board of Directors consists of five members: three representatives from Fairview Health Services and two consumer board members elected by the PCHP membership. PCHP offers a variety of fully-insured HMO products for both large and small employers and features an open-access provider network. Plans feature a variety of benefit options including 100% preventive coverage and options for outof-network coverage.
- B. Membership: PCHP self-reported enrollment as of January 1, 2017 consisted of the following:

Product	Enrollment
Fully Insured Commercial	
Large Group	100
Small Employer Group	708
Individual	NA
Total	808

C. Onsite Examinations Dates: April 17, 2017 to April 19, 2017

- D. Examination Period: August 1, 2014 to January 31, 2017 File Review Period: February 1, 2016 to January 31, 2017 Opening Date: January 18, 2017
- E. National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA): PCHP is accredited for its Commercial product by NCQA based on 2015 standards. The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) evaluated and used results of the NCQA review in one of three ways:

- a. If NCQA standards do not exist or are not as stringent as Minnesota law, the accreditation results will not be used in the MDH examination process [No NCQA checkbox].
- b. If the NCQA standard was the same or more stringent than Minnesota law and the health plan was accredited with 100% of the possible points, the NCQA results were accepted as meeting Minnesota requirements [NCQA ⊠] unless evidence existed indicating further investigation was warranted [NCQA □].
- c. If the NCQA standard was the same or more stringent than Minnesota law, but the review resulted in less than 100% of the possible points on NCQA's score sheet or as an identified opportunity for improvement, MDH conducted its own examination.

F. Sampling Methodology: Due to the small sample sizes and the methodology used for sample selection for the quality assurance examination, the results cannot be extrapolated as an overall deficiency rate for the health plan.

G. Performance standard: For each instance of non-compliance with applicable law or rule identified during the quality assurance examination, which covers a three-year audit period, the health plan is cited with a deficiency. A deficiency will not be based solely on one outlier file if MDH had sufficient evidence obtained through: 1) file review; 2) policies and procedures; and 3) interviews, that a plan's overall operation is compliant with an applicable law.

2. Quality Program Administration

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1110. Program

Subp. 1	Written Quality Assurance Plan	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	
Subp. 2	Documentation of Responsibility	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	🗆 NCQA
Subp. 3	Appointed Entity	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	🗆 NCQA
Subp. 4	Physician Participation	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	🗆 NCQA
Subp. 5	Staff Resources	🗆 Met	🗆 Not Met	🛛 NCQA
Subp. 6	Delegated Activities	🗆 Met	🛛 Not Met	🗆 NCQA
Subp. 7	Information System	🗆 Met	🗆 Not Met	🛛 NCQA
Subp. 8	Program Evaluation	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	🗆 NCQA
Subp. 9	Complaints	imes Met	🗆 Not Met	
Subp. 10	Utilization Review	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	
Subp. 11	Provider Selection and Credentialing	🗆 Met	🗆 Not Met	🛛 NCQA
Subp. 12	Qualifications	🗆 Met	🗆 Not Met	🛛 NCQA
Subp. 13	Medical Records	🖾 Met	🗆 Not Met	

<u>Subp. 6.</u> Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1110, subpart 6, states that the HMO must develop and implement review and reporting requirements to assure that the delegated entity performs all

delegated activities. The standards and processes established by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) for delegation are considered the community standard and, as such, were used for the purposes of this examination. The following delegated entities and functions were reviewed:

Delegated Entities and Functions									
Entity	UM	UM Appeals	QM	Complaints/ Grievances	Cred	Claims	Network	Care Coord	Other
Magellan Healthcare (Chiro)	X	Х	Х		Х		X		
Clearscript (PBM)						X	X		Other UM functions

PreferredOne expanded its delegation agreement in January 2017 to support several new utilization management (UM) functions for which a pre-delegation assessment was completed. In addition to the pharmaceutical UM functions, Clearscript is also delegated Claims and Network. Minnesota Rule requires oversight of all delegated functions. Documents submitted to MDH showed network adequacy review; however, there was:

- Insufficient evidence of oversight of the pharmacy claims function. There was no policy/procedure outlining the pharmacy claims review process, results of the review or where those results are reported.
- No evidence of oversight of pharmacy credentialing done by Clearscript.

PreferredOne must perform and document delegation oversight of all delegated functions. **(Deficiency #1)**

<u>Subp. 11.</u> Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1110, subpart 11, states that the plan must have policies and procedures for provider selection, credentialing, and recredentialing that, at a minimum, are consistent with community standards. MDH recognizes the community standard to be NCQA. PreferredOne scored 100% on all 2015 NCQA credentialing/recredentialing standards.

Minnesota Subp. 1 Subp. 2	Rules, Part 4685.1115. Activities Ongoing Quality Evaluation Scope		⊠ Met ⊠ Met	□ Not Met □ Not Met
Minnesota	Rules, Part 4685.1120. Quality Evaluation	Steps		
Subp. 1	Problem Identification	🖾 Met	🗆 Not Met	🗆 NCQA
Subp. 2	Problem Selection	🖾 Met	🗆 Not Met	
Subp. 3	Corrective Action	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	🗆 NCQA
Subp. 4	Evaluation of Corrective Action	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	

Minnesot	a Rules, Part 4685.1125. Focus Study Ste	ps		
Subp. 1	Focused Studies	\boxtimes Met	🗆 Not Met	🗆 NCQA
Subp. 2	Topic Identification and Selection	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	🗆 NCQA
Subp. 3	Study	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	🗆 NCQA
Subp. 4	Corrective Action	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	🗆 NCQA
Subp. 5	Other Studies	🛛 Met	□ Not Met	🗆 NCQA
Minnesot	a Rules, Part 4685.1130. Filed Written Pla	an and Work Plan	1	
Subp. 1	Written Plan	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	🗆 NCQA
Subp. 2	Annual Work Plan	🗆 Met	🗆 Not Met	🛛 NCQA
Subp. 3	Amendments to Written Plan	🛛 Met	Not Met	🗆 NCQA

3. Quality of Care

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62D.115, states that each health maintenance organization shall develop and implement a quality of care complaint investigation process that meets the requirements of this section. The process must include a written policy and procedures for the receipt, investigation, and follow-up of quality of care complaints must follow the requirements specified. There were no quality of care complaints during the file review period of this examination. Policies/procedures and discussions indicated PreferredOne's quality of care processes were consistent with law.

4. Complaint System

MDH examined PreferredOne's fully-insured commercial complaint system under Minnesota Statues, chapter 62Q.

MDH reviewed a total of six (all) Complaint System files.

Complaint System File Review	N.
Complaint Files (Oral and Written)	4
Non-Clinical Appeal	2
Total Number of Files Reviewed	6

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.69. Complaint Resolution

Subp. 1.	Establishment	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met
Subp. 2.	Procedures for Filing a Complaint	🛛 Met	🗌 Not Met
Subd. 3.	Notification of Complaint Decisions	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.70. Appeal of the Complaint Decision

Subp. 1	Establishment	🖾 Met	🗆 Not Met
Subp. 2	Procedures for Filing an Appeal	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met
Subd. 3.	Notification of Appeal Decisions	🛛 Met	🗌 Not Met

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.71. Notice to Enrollees

🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met
-------	-----------

Minnesota S	Statutes, Section 62Q.73. External Review of Adverse I	Determinations	
Subd. 3.	Right to External Review	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met

5. Access and Availability

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62D.124. Geographic Accessibility Subd. 1. Primary Care, Mental Health Services, General Hospital \boxtimes Met □ Not Met Services Subd. 2. **Other Health Services** 🛛 Met □ Not Met Subd. 3. Exception 🖾 Met □ Not Met

Subds. 1 and 2. Minnesota Statutes, Section 62D.124, subdivision 1, outlines the accessibility requirements for primary, mental health, and general hospital services. Subdivision 2 outlines accessibility requirements for specialty and ancillary services. MDH reviewed PCHP's "Complete Network" network adequacy filings for the 2017 plan year, as well as the submitted 2014 and 2015 Availability and Accessibility Study Reports. The study results included a summary of PCHP's provider types, member to provider ratios, number of members without availability, and average distance to provider. The 2015 gap analysis concluded that gaps in geographic coverage impact a very small number of members, and generally, PreferredOne met geographic access requirements. PreferredOne stated: "the two main reasons for no access is that there is not the provider type in the area or members are living in remote parts of the county." Although displayed on the maps and network filings, PreferredOne's access and availability reports could be enhanced and better reflect network adequacy filings by the inclusion of information regarding the counties affected by the gaps, any waivers requested, and whether they are meeting ECP requirements. PreferredOne could also offer information in the reports as to how it provides services for members outside of access parameters. PreferredOne should include in its access and availability reports specific information about the areas in which accessibility requirements are not met, requests for waivers, analysis of meeting ECP requirements, and its plan and practice for providing services to members living in areas where care is not available. (Recommendation #1)

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.19. Essential Community Providers

Subd. 3. Health Plan Company Affiliation

 Met \boxtimes Not Met

Subd. 3. Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.19, subdivision 3, requires that health plans offer provider contracts to any designated essential community provider (ECP) located within the area served by the health plan. PreferredOne's Network Adequacy filings indicates that it is meeting the requirement of offering contracts to ECP designees in the areas it serves. This practice is not reflected in any policy documents. PreferredOne must have a specific policy and procedure for contracting with Essential Community Providers within the areas served. (Mandatory Improvement #1)

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1010. Availability and Accessibility				
Subp. 2.	Basic Services	\boxtimes Met	🗆 Not Met	
Subp. 5	Coordination of Care	🛛 Met	□ Not Met	

Minnesota B	Rules, Part 4685.1010. Availability and Accessibility			
Subp. 6.	Timely Access to Health care Services	imes Met	🗆 Not Met	
Minnesota S	tatutes, Section 62Q.55. Emergency Services			
		🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	
Minnesota S	tatutes, Section 62Q.121. Licensure of Medical Directors			
		🛛 Met	□ Not Met	
Minnesota S	tatutes, Section 62Q.527. Coverage of Non-formulary Dr	ugs for Mental	Illness and	
Emotional D	isturbance			
Subd. 2.	Required Coverage for Anti-psychotic Drugs	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	
Subd. 3.	Continuing Care	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	
Subd. 4.	Exception to formulary	\boxtimes Met	🗆 Not Met	
Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.535. Coverage for Court-Ordered Mental Health Services				
Subd. 1.	Mental health services	🛛 Met	□ Not Met	
Subd. 2.	Coverage required	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	
Minnesota S	tatutes, Section 62Q.56. Continuity of Care			
Subd. 1.	Change in health care provider, general notification	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	
Subd. 1a.	Change in health care provider, termination not for cause	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	
Subd. 1b.	Change in health care provider, termination for cause	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	
Subd. 15. Subd. 2.	Change in health plans	⊠ Met	□ Not Met	
Subd. 2. Subd. 2a.	Limitations	⊠ Met		
Subd. 2a. Subd. 2b.	Request for authorization	\boxtimes Met	□ Not Met	
			□ Not Met	
Subd. 3.	Disclosures	🛛 Met	Not Met	

6. Utilization Review

MDH examined PreferredOne's fully-insured commercial Utilization Management program under Minnesota Statues, Section 62M.

MDH reviewed a total of 32 (all) Utilization Management program files including its delegate files.

UM System File Review		
File Source		# Reviewed
UM Denial Files		
PreferredOne	0	19
Magellan		7
	Subtotal	26
Clinical Appeal Files		14
PreferredOne		6
Magellan Healthcare		0
	Subtotal	6
	Total	32

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.04. Standards for Utilization Review Performance

Subd. 1	Responsibility on Obtaining Certification	🖾 Met	🗆 Not Met
Subd. 2.	Information upon which Utilization Review is Conducted	imes Met	🗆 Not Met

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.05. Procedures for Review Determination

Subd. 1.	Written Procedures	🖾 Met	🗆 Not Met	
Subd. 2.	Concurrent Review	🗆 Met	🗆 Not Met	🛛 NCQA
Subd. 3.	Notification of Determination	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	
Subd. 3a.	Standard Review Determination	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	
(a)	Initial determination to certify (10 business days)	🗆 Met	🗆 Not Met	🛛 NCQA
(b)	Initial determination to certify (telephone notification)	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	
(c)	Initial determination not to certify	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	
(d)	Initial determination not to certify (notice of right to appeal)	🗆 Met	🗆 Not Met	🛛 NCQA
Subd. 3b.	Expedited Review Determination	🗆 Met	🛛 Not Met	🗆 NCQA
Subd. 4.	Failure to Provide Necessary Information	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	
Subd. 5.	Notifications to Claims Administrator	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met	

<u>Subd. 3</u> Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.05, subdivision 3b, states that, "an expedited initial determination must be utilized when an attending health care professional believes that an expedited determination is warranted". In one utilization review file, an attending health care professional asked

that a prior authorization request be expedited, but PreferredOne made a decision not to expedite it because they did not consider it "urgent". The attending health care professional was not notified that their expedited request was denied and going to be reviewed under the standard review timelines nor were they provided an opportunity to discuss it further. In discussion while onsite, PreferredOne stated that they only expedite requests that are classified as "urgent care" as defined by PreferredOne's *POOS Timeliness of UM Decisions* policy and procedure. PreferredOne must revise their policy and procedure to state their process for contacting the attending health care professional to discuss any expedited request that PreferredOne deems is not urgent care. **(Mandatory Improvement #2)**

Statutes	, sect	ion 62M.06. Appeals of Determinations n	not to Certify		
Subd. 1.		Procedures for Appeal		🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met
Subd. 2.		Expedited Appeal		🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met
Subd. 3.		Standard Appeal			
	(a)	Appeal resolution notice timeline		🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met
	(b)	Documentation requirements		🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met
	(c)	Review by a different physician		🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met
	(d)	Time limit in which to appeal		🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met
	(e)	Unsuccessful appeal to reverse determination	ation	🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met
	(f)	Same or similar specialty review		🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met
	(g)	Notice of rights to external; review		🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met
Subd. 4.		Notification to Claims Administrator		🛛 Met	🗆 Not Met
			🗆 Met	🗆 Not Met	🛛 NCQA
Minnosot		tutos Soction 62N/00 Staff and Program			
	a Sta	tutes, Section 62M.09. Staff and Program	Qualifications		
Subd. 1.	a Sta	Staff Criteria	Qualifications	□ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subd. 1. Subd. 2.	a Sta	Staff Criteria Licensure Requirements	Qualifications	□ Not Met □ Not Met	⊠ NCQA ⊠ NCQA
Subd. 1. Subd. 2. Subd. 3.	a Sta	Staff Criteria Licensure Requirements Physician Reviewer Involvement	Qualifications Met Met Met Met	□ Not Met □ Not Met □ Not Met	⊠ NCQA ⊠ NCQA □ NCQA
Subd. 1. Subd. 2.	a Sta	Staff Criteria Licensure Requirements Physician Reviewer Involvement Mental Health and Substance Abuse	Qualifications	□ Not Met □ Not Met	⊠ NCQA ⊠ NCQA
Subd. 1. Subd. 2. Subd. 3.	a Sta	Staff Criteria Licensure Requirements Physician Reviewer Involvement	Qualifications Met Met Met Met	 Not Met Not Met Not Met Not Met 	⊠ NCQA ⊠ NCQA □ NCQA
Subd. 1. Subd. 2. Subd. 3. Subd. 3a	a Sta	Staff Criteria Licensure Requirements Physician Reviewer Involvement Mental Health and Substance Abuse Review	Qualifications	□ Not Met □ Not Met □ Not Met	⊠ NCQA ⊠ NCQA □ NCQA □ NCQA
Subd. 1. Subd. 2. Subd. 3. Subd. 3a Subd. 4.	a Sta	Staff Criteria Licensure Requirements Physician Reviewer Involvement Mental Health and Substance Abuse Review Dentist Plan Reviews	Qualifications □ Met □ Met ⊠ Met ⊠ Met □ Met	 Not Met Not Met Not Met Not Met Not Met 	⊠ NCQA ⊠ NCQA □ NCQA □ NCQA ⊠ NCQA
Subd. 1. Subd. 2. Subd. 3. Subd. 3a Subd. 4. Subd. 4a.	a Sta	Staff Criteria Licensure Requirements Physician Reviewer Involvement Mental Health and Substance Abuse Review Dentist Plan Reviews Chiropractic Reviews	Qualifications Met Met Met Met Met Met Met Met	 Not Met Not Met Not Met Not Met Not Met Not Met 	 NCQA NCQA NCQA NCQA NCQA NCQA NCQA
Subd. 1. Subd. 2. Subd. 3. Subd. 3a Subd. 4. Subd. 4a. Subd. 5.	a Sta	Staff Criteria Licensure Requirements Physician Reviewer Involvement Mental Health and Substance Abuse Review Dentist Plan Reviews Chiropractic Reviews Written Clinical Criteria	Qualifications Met Met Met Met Met Met Met Met	 Not Met 	 NCQA NCQA NCQA NCQA NCQA NCQA NCQA NCQA NCQA

<u>Subd. 3</u> Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.09, subdivision 3a, specifies who can make determinations on mental health and substance abuse prior authorization requests. PreferredOne states in their *P004 Appropriate Professionals* policy and procedure that mental health reviews will be made by qualified professionals. PreferredOne is following the statute appropriately in practice. PreferredOne should consider updating their policy and procedure to include more specificity around the types of professionals who can make determinations for mental health and substance review requests so that any new internal staff have a clear understanding of the process. **(Recommendation #2)**

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.11. Complaints to Commerce or Health

7. Recommendations

- To better comply with Minnesota Statutes, Section 62D.124, subdivisions 1 and 2, PreferredOne should include in its access and availability reports specific information about the areas (counties) in which accessibility requirements are not met, requests for waivers, analysis of meeting ECP requirements and its plan and practice for providing services to members living in areas where care is not available.
- 2. To better comply with Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.09, subdivision 3a, PreferredOne should consider updating their policy and procedure to include more specificity around the types of professionals who can make determinations for mental health and substance review requests so that any new internal staff have a clear understanding of the process.

8. Mandatory Improvements

- 1. To comply with Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.19, subdivision 3, PreferredOne must have a specific policy and procedure for contracting with Essential Community Providers within the areas served.
- 2. To comply with Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.05, subdivision 3b, PreferredOne must revise their policy and procedure to state their process for contacting the attending health care professional to discuss any expedited request that PreferredOne deems is not urgent care.

9. Deficiencies

1. To comply with Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1110, subpart 6, PreferredOne must perform and document delegation oversight of the pharmacy claims and credentialing delegated functions.