

PrimeWest Health

QUALITY ASSURANCE EXAMINATION

01/27/2021

Final Report

For the Period: July 2, 2018 - May 31, 2020

Examiners: Elaine Johnson, RN, BS, CPHQ; Tom Major, MS; and Kate Eckroth, MPH

Final Issue Date: January 27, 2021

Minnesota Department of Health Managed Care Systems Section PO Box 64882 St. Paul, MN 55164-0882 651-201-5100 health.mcs@state.mn.us www.health.state.mn.us

As requested by Minnesota Statutes, Section 3.197: This report cost approximately \$125.00 to prepare, including staff time, printing and mailing expenses.

Upon request, this material will be made available in an alternative format such as large print, Braille or audio recording. Printed on recycled paper.

MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) conducted a Quality Assurance Examination of PrimeWest to determine whether it is operating in accordance with Minnesota Law. Our mission is to protect, maintain and improve the health of all Minnesotans. MDH has found that PrimeWest is compliant with Minnesota and Federal law, except in the areas outlined in the "Deficiencies" and Mandatory Improvements" sections of this report. Deficiencies are violations of law. "Mandatory Improvements" are required corrections that must be made to non-compliant policies, documents, or procedures where evidence of actual compliance is found or where the file sample did not include any instances of the specific issue of concern. The "Recommendations" listed are areas where, although compliant with law, MDH identified improvement opportunities.

To address recommendations, PrimeWest should:

Perform more robust reporting that includes tracking and trending by grievance categories for the purposes of identifying patterns and assessing trends over time.

Ensure in its selection of clinics for medical record review that there are a variety of clinics reviewed each year, not merely the same top 20 clinics.

To address mandatory improvements, PrimeWest and its delegates must:

Specifically identify the standards used by provider types in accordance with Minnesota Rule requirements in the written summaries and analysis of network adequacy, and ensure they are applying the standards correctly in the analysis.

To address deficiencies, PrimeWest and its delegates must:

Ensure the written quality assurance plan is developed, reviewed, and approved by the Quality and Care Coordination Committee, its designated quality entity, prior to seeking review and approval from the Joint Powers Board.

Work with its delegate, MedImpact to ensure the correct dates are recorded on the Denial, Termination, or Reduction (DTR) notice to provide accurate information to the enrollee.

This report including these deficiencies, mandatory improvements and recommendations is approved and adopted by the Minnesota Commissioner of Health pursuant to authority in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 62D.

signature on file

January 27, 2021

Susan Castellano, Acting Director Health Policy Division Date

Contents

I.	Introduction	5
II.	Quality Program Administration	7
	Program	7
	Activities	9
	Quality Evaluation Steps	9
	Focused Study Steps	10
	Filed Written Plan and Work Plan	10
Ш	. Grievance Systems	11
	Grievance System	11
	General Requirements	11
	Internal Grievance Process Requirements	11
	DTR Notice of Action to Enrollees	12
	Internal Appeals Process Requirements	13
	State Fair Hearings	15
I۷	7. Access and Availability	16
	Geographic Accessibility	16
	Essential Community Providers	16
	Availability and Accessibility	16
	Emergency Services	17
	Licensure of Medical Directors	17
	Coverage of Nonformulary Drugs for Mental Illness and Emotional Disturbance	17
	Coverage for Court-Ordered Mental Health Services	18
	Continuity of Care	18
V.	Utilization Review	19
	Standards for Utilization Review Performance	19
	Procedures for Review Determination	19
	Appeals of Determinations Not to Certify	20
	Confidentiality	20
	Staff and Program Qualifications	
	Complaints to Commerce or Health	
	Prohibition of Inappropriate Incentives	21

PRIMEWEST HEALTH QUALITY ASSURANCE EXAMINATION

VI.	Summary of Findings	22
Re	ecommendations	22
М	landatory Improvements	22
De	eficiencies	22

I. Introduction

1. History:

PrimeWest Health (PrimeWest) was legally established in December 1998 as a county government "Joint Powers" entity under MN Stat. sec. 471.59. PrimeWest's counties include Big Stone, Douglas, Grant, McLeod, Meeker, Pipestone, Pope, Renville, Stevens, and Traverse. The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) approved PrimeWest's County Based Purchasing (CBP) application in October 2002 in accordance with MN Stat. sec. 256B.692. In April 2003, the Minnesota Department of Human Services (DHS) awarded PrimeWest the contract for administering the Prepaid Medical Assistance Program (PMAP) in its 10 Joint Powers counties beginning July 2003.

In 2003, PrimeWest started with one MHCP product serving approximately 5,500 members in 10 counties through a network of fewer than 1,000 contracted providers. Today, PrimeWest administers six MHCP programs serving nearly 44,000 members in 13 counties through a network of over 12,500 contracted providers.

2. Membership: PrimeWest's self-reported Minnesota enrollment as of March 31, 2020 consisted of the following:

Self-Reported Enrollment

Product	Enrollment
Minnesota Health Care Programs – Managed Care (MHCP-MC)	
Families & Children	32,730
MinnesotaCare	2,663
Minnesota Senior Care (MSC+)	897
Minnesota Senior Health Options (MSHO)	1911
Special Needs Basic Care	2307
Total	40,508

3. Onsite Examination Dates: July 27, 2020 to July 31, 2020

4. Examination Period: July 2, 2018 to May 31, 2020 File Review Period: June 1, 2019 to May 31, 2020

Opening Date: May 1, 2020

5. National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA): PrimeWest is accredited by NCQA for its Medicaid HMO product based on 2019 standards. The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) evaluated and used results of the NCQA review in one of three ways:

- a. If NCQA standards do not exist or are not as stringent as Minnesota law, the accreditation results were not used in the MDH examination process [No NCQA checkbox].
- b. If the NCQA standard was the same or more stringent than Minnesota law and the health plan was accredited with 100% of the possible points, the NCQA results were accepted as meeting Minnesota requirements [NCQA ☒], unless evidence existed indicating further investigation was warranted [NCQA ☐].
- c. If the NCQA standard was the same or more stringent than Minnesota law, but the plan was accredited with less than 100% of the possible points or MDH identified an opportunity for improvement, MDH conducted its own examination.
- Sampling Methodology: Due to the small sample sizes and the methodology used for sample selection for the quality assurance examination, the results cannot be extrapolated as an overall deficiency rate for the health plan.
- 7. Performance Standard: For each instance of non-compliance with applicable law or rule identified during the quality assurance examination, that covers a three-year audit period, the health plan is cited with a deficiency. A deficiency will not be based solely on one outlier file if MDH has sufficient evidence that a plan's overall operation is compliant with an applicable law. Sufficient evidence may be obtained through: 1) file review; 2) policies and procedures; and 3) interviews.

II. Quality Program Administration

Program

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1110

Subparts	Subject	Met	Not Met	NCQA
Subp. 1.	Written Quality Assurance Plan	⊠Met	□ Not Met	
Subp. 2.	Documentation of Responsibility	□Met	⊠ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subp. 3.	Appointed Entity	⊠Met	□ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subp. 4.	Physician Participation	⊠Met	□ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subp. 5.	Staff Resources	□Met	□ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subp. 6.	Delegated Activities	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subp. 7.	Information System	⊠Met	□ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subp. 8.	Program Evaluation	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subp. 9.	Complaints	⊠Met	□ Not Met	
Subp. 10.	Utilization Review	⊠Met	□ Not Met	
Subp. 11.	Provider Selection and Credentialing	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subp. 12.	Qualifications	□Met	□ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subp. 13.	Medical Records	⊠Met	□ Not Met	

Finding: Written Quality Assurance Plan

<u>Subp. 1</u>. Minnesota Rules 4685.1110, subparts 2 and 3, gives authority of the quality program to the governing body, which approves the quality program and its activities. The governing body designates an entity that is responsible for the quality assurance activities.

PrimeWest's Joint Powers Board (JPB) is the governing body, which has ultimate responsibility and authority over the quality program. PrimeWest has established its Quality and Care Coordination Committee (QCCC) as its designated entity and "JPB has assigned responsibility for development and implementation of the Quality Assurance Plan, Annual Quality Program Assessment, and Annual Quality Work Plan to QCCC"1.

In 2019 and 2020, the JPB approved *PrimeWest Health Quality Improvement Program* description before it was reviewed and approved by the QCCC. For example, in 2020, the March

¹ Taken from PrimeWest Health Quality Improvement Program, 2020 Page | 7

5, 2020 JPB minutes stated, "Policy and Procedure Approval – QM01, QM03, QM08, QMAG01, UM02, and UM14" and further stated it went for approval following the review by the QCCC. The minutes did not indicate the QM03 was PrimeWest's Quality Assurance Plan nor did the minutes reflect any discussion or review. On April 14, 2020, QM03 was brought to the QCCCC along with 60-plus other policies and the minutes stated the following "QM03: Quality Assurance Plan – approved on March 5, 2020, by PrimeWest Health JPB." The minutes did not reflect any review, discussion, or organizational input into PrimeWest's written quality assurance plan.

PrimeWest must ensure the written quality assurance plan has been developed, reviewed, and approved by the QCCC, its designated quality entity, prior to seeking review and approval from the JPB. (**Deficiency #1**)

Finding: Delegated Activities

<u>Subp. 6.</u> Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1110, subpart 6, states the HMO must develop and implement review and reporting requirements to assure that the delegated entity performs all delegated activities. The standards and processes established by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) for delegation are considered the community standard and, as such, were used for the purposes of this examination. The following delegated entities and functions were reviewed.

Delegated Entities and Functions

Entity	UM	QOC	Complaints/ Grievances	Appeals	Cred	Claims	Disease Mgmt	Network	Care Coord
MedImpact	Χ				X	Х		X	
Beltrami County									Х
Big Stone County									Х
Renville County									Х

Delegation oversight of MedImpact included all the functions listed in the delegation agreement. The oversight audit done on MedImpact for the year 2018 was not initiated until September of 2019, with summary report in February 2020. Oversight audit done for year 2019 was initiated in June 2020, much timelier. MDH noted that many of the oversight documents submitted for review were not dated. In future oversight audits, PrimeWest should date all documents and reports utilized in the review.

Finding: Quality of Care Complaints

<u>Subp. 9(c)</u> Minnesota Rules, 4685.1110, subpart 9(c), shall conduct ongoing evaluation of enrollee complaints that are related to quality of care.

PrimeWest submitted evidence that quality of care grievances are being reviewed and evaluated on an ongoing basis as indicated in the QCCC Meeting Minutes. It is also reported in the 2018 Quality Assessment report. However, PrimeWest does not report in the quality Page | 8

committee nor in the Quality Assessment quality of care grievances by complaint categories for purposes of tracking and trending. They are individually reported to the Department of Human Services broken out by these categories.

Therefore, PrimeWest should perform more robust reporting that includes tracking and trending by grievance categories for the purposes of identifying patterns and assessing trends over time. (Recommendation #1)

Finding: Provider Selection and Credentialing

<u>Subp. 11.</u> Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1110, subpart 11, states the plan must have policies and procedures for provider selection, credentialing and recredentialing that, at a minimum, are consistent with community standards. MDH recognizes the community standard to be NCQA. PrimeWest scored 100% on all 2019 NCQA Credentialing/recredentialing standards.

Finding: Medical Records

<u>Subp. 13.</u> Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1110, subpart 13 states the quality assurance entity shall conduct ongoing evaluation of medical records.

PrimeWest submitted medical record audits done in 2018 and 2019 as well as policy *QM06* Health Records. The policy states the clinics are identified based on number of unique members and the top 20 clinics are reviewed.

PrimeWest should ensure in its selection of clinics for medical record review that there are a variety of clinics reviewed each year, not merely the same top 20 clinics. (Recommendation #2)

Activities

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1115

Subparts	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subp. 1.	Ongoing Quality Evaluation	⊠Met	□ Not Met
Subp. 2.	Scope	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Quality Evaluation Steps

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1120

Subparts	oparts Subject		Not Met
Subp. 1.	Problem Identification	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Subparts	Subject		Not Met
Subp. 2.	Problem Selection	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 3.	Corrective Action	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 4.	Evaluation of Corrective Action	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Focused Study Steps

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1125

Subparts Subject		Met	Not Met
Subp. 1. Focused Studies		⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 2.	Topic Identification and Selections	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 3.	Study	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 4.	Corrective Action	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 5.	Other Studies	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Filed Written Plan and Work Plan

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1130

Subparts	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subp. 1.	Written Plan	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 2.	Work Plan	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 3.	Amendments to Plan	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Finding: Amendments to Plan

<u>Subp. 3</u>. Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1130, subpart 3 states the plan must submit the written quality assurance plan to MDH for approval when any modifications are made to the program or activities. *PrimeWest Quality Improvement Program 2020* was reviewed and approved by MDH during the examination, having met all requirements outlined in Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1110.

III. Grievance Systems

Grievance System

Consistent with federal law (42 CFR 438, subpart F) and the DHS 2019 Contract, Article 8, MDH examined PrimeWest's Minnesota Health Care Programs Managed Care Programs – Managed Care (MHCP-MC) grievance system, including the review of 32 grievance system files.

Grievance System File Review

File Source*	# Reviewed
Grievances	
PrimeWest Written	2
PrimeWest Oral	6
Quality of Care Grievances	8
Non-Clinical Appeals	8
State Fair Hearing	8
Total	32

^{*}DTR and Clinical Appeals files recorded in Section VI, Utilization Review

General Requirements

DHS Contract, Section 8.1

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
Section 8.1.	§438.402	General Requirements		
Sec. 8.1.1.		Components of Grievance System	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Internal Grievance Process Requirements

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
Section 8.2.	§438.408	Internal Grievance Process Requirements		

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
Section 8.2.1.	§438.402 (c)	Filing Requirements	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Section 8.2.2.	§438.408 (b)(1), (d)(1)	Timeframe for Resolution of Grievances	⊠Met	□ Not Met
Section 8.2.3.	§438.408 (c)	Timeframe for Extension of Resolution of Grievances	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Section 8.2.4.	§438.406	Handling of Grievances		
8.2.4.1	§438.406 (b)(1)	Written Acknowledgement	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.2.4.2	§438.416	Log of Grievances	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.2.4.3	§438.402 (c)(3)	Oral or Written Grievances	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.2.4.4	§438.406 (a)	Reasonable Assistance	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.2.4.5	§438.406 (b)(2)(i)	Individual Making Decision	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.2.4.6	§438.406 (b)(2)(ii)	Appropriate Clinical Expertise	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Section 8.2.5.	§438.408 (d)(1)	Notice of Disposition of a Grievance		
8.2.5.1	§438.404 (b) §438.406 (a)	Oral Grievances	⊠Met	□ Not Met
8.2.5.2	§438.404 (a), (b)	Written Grievances	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

DTR Notice of Action to Enrollees

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
Section 8.3.	§438.10 §438.404	DTR Notice of Action to Enrollees		
Section 8.3.1.	§438.10(c), (d) §438.402(c) §438.404(b)	General Requirements	⊠Met	⊠ Not Met
Section 8.3.2	§438.402 (c), §438.404 (b)	Content of DTR Notice of Action	□Met	⊠ Not Met
8.3.2.1	§438.404	Notice to Provider	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Section 8.3.3.	§438.404 (c)	Timing of DTR Notice		
8.3.3.1	§431.211	Previously Authorized Services	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.3.3.2	§438.404 (c)(2)	Denials of Payment	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.3.3.3	§438.210 (c)(d)	Standard Authorizations		
(1)		As expeditiously as the enrollee's health condition requires	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
(2)		To the attending health care professional and hospital by telephone or fax within one working day after making the determination	⊠Met	□ Not Met
(3)	(3) To the provider, enrollee and hospital, in writing, and must include the process to initiate an appeal, within ten (10) business days following receipt of the request for the service, unless the MCO receives an extension of the resolution period		⊠Met	□ Not Met
8.3.3.4	§438.210 (d)(2)(i)	Expedited Authorizations		☐ Not Met
8.3.3.5	§438.210 (d)(1)	10 (d)(1) Extensions of Time		☐ Not Met
8.3.3.6	§438.210(d)(3) and 42 USC 1396r-8(d)(5)	Covered Outpatient Drug Decisions	⊠Met	□ Not Met
8.3.3.7	§438.210 (d)(1)	Delay in Authorizations	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Finding: Content of DTR Notice of Action

<u>Sec. 8.3.2</u>. 42 CFR 438.404 (DHS Contract 8.3.2) outlines the contents of the Denial, Termination or Reduction (DTR) notice, which must include:

- First date of service, if the Action is for denial, in whole or in part, of payment for a service;
- Date the MCO received the request for Service Authorization if the Action is for a denial, limited authorization, termination or reduction of a requested service;
- Effective date of the Action if the DTR it results in a reduction or termination of ongoing or previously authorized services.

All MedImpact DTRs have incorrect dates recorded in the above categories. On the DTRs, for *First Date of Service*, the request date is listed; for *Date of Authorization Request*, the denial notification date is listed; for *Effective Date of Action*, the denial notification date is again listed.

The correct dates included in the DTRs would have been:

- First Date of Service, no date or not applicable since DTR is not for payment of a service;
- Date of Authorization Request, the date of the request should be listed;
- Effective Date of Action, not applicable since the DTR would not result in a reduction or termination of previously authorized medication or could include notification date.

PrimeWest must work with its delegate, MedImpact to ensure the correct dates are recorded on the DTR to provide accurate information to the enrollee. (**Deficiency #2**)

Internal Appeals Process Requirements

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
Section 8.4.	§438.404	Internal Appeals Process Requirements		

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
Sec. 8.4.1.	§438.402 (b)	One Level Appeal	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.2.	§438.408 (b)	Filing Requirements	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.3.	§438.408	Timeframe for Resolution of Appeals		
8.4.3.1	§438.408 (b)(2)	Standard Appeals	⊠Met	□ Not Met
8.4.3.2	§438.408 (b)(3)	Expedited Appeals	⊠Met	□ Not Met
8.4.3.3	§438.408 (c)(3)	Deemed Exhaustion	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.4.	§438.408 (c)	Timeframe for Extension of Resolution of Appeals	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.5.	§438.406	Handling of Appeals		
8.4.5.1	§438.406 (b)(3)	Oral Inquiries	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.4.5.2	§438.406 (b)(1)	Written Acknowledgment	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.4.5.3	§438.406 (a)	Reasonable Assistance	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.4.5.4	§438.406 (b)(2)	Individual Making Decision	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.4.5.5	§438.406 (b)(2)	Appropriate Clinical Expertise (See Minnesota Statutes, sections 62M.06, and subd. 3(f) and 62M.09	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.4.5.6	§438.406 (b)(4)	Opportunity to Present Evidence	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.4.5.7	§438.406 (b)(5)	Opportunity to Examine the Care File	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.4.5.8	§438.406 (b)(6)	Parties to the Appeal	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.4.5.9	§438.410 (b)	Prohibition of Punitive Action Subsequent Appeals	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.6.		Subsequent Appeals		
Sec. 8.4.7.	§438.408 (d)(2)	Notice of Resolution of Appeals		
8.4.7.1	§438.408 (d)(2)	Written Notice Content	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.4.7.2	§438.210 (c)	Appeals of UM Decisions	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
8.4.7.3	§438.410 (c) and .408 (d)(2)(ii)	Telephone Notification of Expedited Appeals (Also see Minnesota Statutes section 62M.06, subd.2)	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.8.	§438.424	Reversed Appeal Resolutions	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.5.	§438.420 (b)	Continuation of Benefits Pending Appeal or State Fair Hearing	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Finding: Written Acknowledgment

<u>Sec. 8.4.5.2</u> 42 CFR 438.406 (b)(1)(DHS Contract 8.4.5.2) states the MCO must send a written acknowledgment within ten days of receiving the request for an Appeal and may combine it with the MCO's notice of resolution if a decision is made within the ten days.

In file review, PrimeWest had one file in which the acknowledgement letter took longer than ten days to generate (12 days).

State Fair Hearings

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
Section 8.8.	§438.416 (c)	State Fair Hearings		
Sec. 8.8.2.	§438.408 (f)	Standard Hearing Decisions	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.8.5.	§438.424	Compliance with State Fair Hearing Resolution	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

IV. Access and Availability

Geographic Accessibility

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62D.124

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 1.	Primary Care, Mental Health Services, General Hospital Services	□Met	⊠ Not Met
Subd. 2.	Other Health Services	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 3.	Exception	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

[Subd. 1 Minnesota Statutes, Section 62D.124, Subd. 1] See Mandatory Improvement #1 below

Essential Community Providers

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.19

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 3.	Contract with Essential Community Providers	⊠Met	□ Not Met

Availability and Accessibility

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1010

Subparts	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subp. 2.	Basic Services	□Met	⊠ Not Met
Subp. 5.	Coordination of Care	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 6.	Timely Access to Health Care Services	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Finding: Mental Health Services

<u>Subp. 2</u> Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1010, Subp. 2, requires the health plan to have available appropriate and sufficient providers and resources to meet the needs of its enrollees and to develop written standards or guidelines that help assess the capacity and timeliness of services and providers in their network. Minnesota Statutes 62D.124, Subd. 1, requires the health plan to assess mental health providers at a 30 minute/30 mile standard. PrimeWest is assessing mental health providers correctly as was evident in the geo-access maps. However, in the 2019 Quality Assessment report the behavioral health access standard of within 60 minutes/60 miles was incorrectly used. In addition, in the 2018 Quality Assessment report, the standards used for

the types of providers more generally aren't prescriptive enough in the written summary making it unclear which providers were assessed at 60 min/60 miles or 30 min/30 miles without reading into the detailed summary that the reader has to piece together in their own reading.

Therefore, MDH finds that PrimeWest must specifically identify the standards used by provider types in accordance with Minnesota Rule requirements in the written summaries and analysis of network adequacy, and ensure they are applying the standards correctly in the analysis. (Mandatory Improvement #1)

Emergency Services

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.55

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 1.	Access to Emergency Services	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 2.	Emergency Medical Condition	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Licensure of Medical Directors

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.121

Sec	ction	Subject	Met	Not Met
620).121.	Licensure of Medical Directors	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Coverage of Nonformulary Drugs for Mental Illness and Emotional Disturbance

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.527.

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 2.	Required Coverage for Anti-psychotic Drugs	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 3.	Continuing Care	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 4.	Exception to Formulary	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Coverage for Court-Ordered Mental Health Services

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.535

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 2.	Coverage required	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Continuity of Care

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.56

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met	N/A
Subd. 1.	Change in health care provider, general notification	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 1a.	Change in health care provider, termination not for cause	⊠Met	□ Not Met	
Subd. 1b.	Change in health care provider, termination for cause	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 2.	Change in health plans (applies to group, continuation and conversion coverage)	⊠Met	□ Not Met	□ N/A

V. Utilization Review

Consistent with Minnesota Statutes chapter 62M, MDH examined PrimeWest's utilization review (UR) system, including the review of 64 utilization review files.

UR System File Review

File Source	# Reviewed
MHCP-MC UM Denial Files (DTR)	
PrimeWest	10
MedImpact	30
Subtotal	40
Clinical Appeal Files	
PrimeWest	15
MedImpact	9
Subtotal	24
Total Files	64

Standards for Utilization Review Performance

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.04

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 1.	Responsibility on Obtaining Certification	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 2.	Information upon which Utilization Review is Conducted	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Procedures for Review Determination

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.05

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met	NCQA
Subd. 1.	Written Procedures	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 2.	Concurrent Review	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subd. 3.	Notification of Determination	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 3a.	Standard Review Determination			
(a)	Initial determination to certify or not (10 business days)	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met	NCQA
(b)	Initial determination to certify (telephone notification)	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
(c)	Initial determination not to certify (notice within 1 working day)	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
(d)	Initial determination not to certify (notice of right to appeal)	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subd. 3b.	Expedited Review Determination	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subd. 4.	Failure to Provide Necessary Information	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 5.	Notifications to Claims Administrator	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	

Appeals of Determinations Not to Certify

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.06

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 1.	Procedures for Appeal	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 2.	Expedited Appeal	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 3.	Standard Appeal		
(a)	Procedures for appeals written and telephone	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(b)	Appeal resolution notice timeline	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(c)	Documentation requirements	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(d)	Review by a different physician	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(e)	Defined time period in which to file appeal	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(f)	Unsuccessful appeal to reverse determination	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(g)	Same or similar specialty review	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(h)	Notice of rights to external review	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 4.	Notifications to Claims Administrator	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Confidentiality

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.08

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 1.	Written Procedures to Ensure Confidentiality	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Staff and Program Qualifications

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.09

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met	NCQA
Subd. 1.	Staff Criteria	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subd. 2.	Licensure Requirements	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subd. 3.	Physician Reviewer Involvement	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subd. 3a.	Mental Health and Substance Abuse Review	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 4.	Dentist Plan Reviews	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subd. 4a.	Chiropractic Reviews	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subd. 5.	Written Clinical Criteria	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subd. 6.	Physician Consultants	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subd. 7.	Training for Program Staff	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subd. 8.	Quality Assessment Program	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠ NCQA

Complaints to Commerce or Health

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.11

Section	Subject	Met	Not Met
62M.11.	Complaints to Commerce or Health	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Prohibition of Inappropriate Incentives

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.12

Section	Subject	Met	Not Met	NCQA
62M.12.	Prohibition of Inappropriate Incentives	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠NCQA

VI. Summary of Findings

Recommendations

- 1. To better comply with Minnesota Rules 4685.1110, subpart. 2, PrimeWest should perform more robust reporting that includes tracking and trending by grievance categories for the purposes of identifying patterns and assessing trends over time.
- 2. To better comply with Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1110, subpart 13, PrimeWest should ensure in its selection of clinics for medical record review that there are a variety of clinics reviewed each year, not just the same top 20 clinics.

Mandatory Improvements

1. To comply with Minnesota Rules 4685.1010, subpart 2, PrimeWest must specifically identify the standards used by provider types in accordance with Minnesota Rule requirements in the written summaries and analysis of network adequacy, and ensure they are applying the standards correctly in the analysis.

Deficiencies

- 1. To comply with Minnesota Rules 4685.1110, subpart 2 and 3, PrimeWest must ensure the written quality assurance plan has been developed, reviewed, and approved by the Quality and Care Coordination Committee, its designated quality entity, prior to seeking review and approval from the Joint Powers Board.
- 2. To comply with 42 CFR 438.404 (DHS Contract 8.3.2), PrimeWest must work with its delegate, MedImpact, to ensure the correct dates are recorded on the Denial, Termination or Reduction (DTR) notice to provide accurate information to the enrollee.