

Contractor Name:

Reviewer Code:

Proposal Cost:

Instructions: Please assign a score for each criterion within the allotted range. The maximum number of points is indicated for each section.

Anticipated Funding Range = \$2,000-\$14,000

Scoring Sections

I. Alignment with State needs for priority communities (20 points) *

	Criteria	Score (0-10)	Comments: Strengths/Weaknesses
1.	 Does organization have capacity to intentionally and effectively reach one or more of the following communities: racial, ethnic, cultural, disability, LGBTQ+ or other communities. Consider: a. Community's access to information and resources (including whether mainstream media outlets intentionally and successfully reach these communities) b. Size of community in Minnesota c. How recently community arrived in Minnesota d. Language needs (including languages spoken, literacy rates) e. Geographic isolation (i.e. Greater Minnesota) 		
2.	Does proposal reach community or communities with <i>increased needs for chronic disease messaging</i> ?		
	Total score points for this section (out of 20):		

II. Relevant history and experience (10 points)

COMMUNITY PARTNER APPLICATION SCORE SHEET

Criteria	Score (0-5)	Comments: Strengths/Weaknesses
3. Does organization have strong history and experience relevant to this work, including similar work in the past, and established trust with the community?		
4. Does organization have effective, culturally rooted community engagement methods and strategies, including strong partnerships with leaders, networks, and others?		
Total score points for this section (out of 10):		

III. Project Focus and Capacity (40 points)

	Criteria	Score (0-20)	Comments: Strengths/Weaknesses
5.	Does organization's proposed focus area seem likely to increase community wellbeing engagement with an overall connection to chronic disease improvement?		
6.	 6. Does the organization have capacity to work with Russell Herder and MDH through July 2022 and engage in: a. Discussions with Russell Herder b. Identify community talent to develop culturally relevant communications c. Advise on community needs and information gaps 		
	Total score points for this section (out of 40):		

IV. Led by people of color, American Indians, individuals with disabilities, and/or LGBTQ individuals (20 points)

	Criteria	Score (0-10)	Comments: Strengths/Weaknesses
7.	Is this a Tribe or an organization led by people of color, American Indians, individuals with disabilities, and/or LGBTQ individuals?		
8.	Does the Tribe or organization share power and decision-making with communities of color, American Indian communities, disability communities, and LGBTQ communities?		
	Total score points for this section (out of 20):		

COMMUNITY PARTNER APPLICATION SCORE SHEET

V. Budget (10 points)

	Criteria	Score (0-10)	Comments: Strengths/Weaknesses
9.	9. Is the total amount requested in the budget appropriate for the proposed activities, number/size of communities reached, and geographic areas reached?		
	Total score points for this section (out of 10):		

Final Scores

Scoring Sections	Maximum Points	Awarded Points	Overall Comments
Alignment with State needs	20		
Relevant history and experience	10		
Project focus and capacity	40		
Tribe or led by POC/AI/disability/LGBTQ	20		
Budget	10		
Total	100		