Observed Test Conditions

Dates of Test:Radon Professional Name:		ansaction:	Year of	Bldg. Construction:	
Radon Professional Name:				Year of Bldg. Construction:	
	MDH License Number:				
Property Cond	litions and Te	est Device P	lacement		
Property was occupied during the test		Yes	No	N/D	
Responsible party signed non-interference a	greement	Yes	No		
Thermostat set between 65-80° F		Yes	No		
Closed-building conditions observed at start	of the test	Yes	No		
Devices were placed in a location that meet minimum requirements of the standard	s the	Yes	No		
Property had multiple foundation types		Yes	No		
Explain any no or not determined answers:					
	Test Retri	eval			
Building was NOT under closed house condi- at device retrieval	tions	Yes	No		
Test devices were found in a different locati the start of the test	on than	Yes	No	N/D	
CRM data indicates potential device movem during test period	ent	Yes	No		
Unusually severe weather events occurred of the test period	during	Yes	No	N/D	

Explain any yes or not determined answers:

OBSERVED TEST CONDITIONS

Mitigation Systems

Property had a mitigation system installed	Yes	No	N/D
Active radon mitigation system was functional	Yes	No	

Explain how it was determined that the mitigation system works (ex. manometer showed fan activity):

Heating, Cooling, and Ventilation Systems

Property had a forced-air HVAC system	Yes	No	
If yes, indicate fan setting on HVAC system	On	Auto	Off
Occupants were using a window AC unit during the test period	Yes	No	N/D
If yes, was the AC set to recirculate indoor air?	Yes	No	
Property has an energy recovery ventilator (ERV) or a heat recovery ventilator (HRV)	Yes	No	N/D
If yes: ERV/HRV intake was free of obstacles and debris	Yes	No	N/D
ERV/HRV was set to lowest seasonal occupied level	Yes	No	N/D
Sub-slab return ducts were observed	Yes	No	N/D
Comments:			

Minnesota Department of Health | Indoor Air Unit health.indoorair@state.mn.us, mn.gov/radon